Under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) of 2015, states are accountable for focusing resources on low-performing schools and traditionally underserved students who consistently demonstrate low academic performance.

State Goals and Accountability Systems
States are required to establish long-term goals for, at minimum, student achievement, high school graduation rates, and English language proficiency with measurements of interim progress. States also must establish multiple-measure accountability systems that include

- student scores on annual assessments and, at the state’s discretion, for high schools also may include student growth based on annual assessments in addition to students’ annual assessment scores;
- English language proficiency;
- at least one indicator of school quality or success that allows for meaningful differentiation among student performance;
- for elementary and middle schools, a “measure of student growth” or other academic indicator that allows for meaningful differentiation in student performance; and
- for high schools, graduation rates.

Comprehensive Support and Improvement Schools
Schools are identified for comprehensive support and improvement based on the performance of all students. At least once every three years, states must identify the lowest-performing 5 percent of Title I schools and high schools with graduation rates at or below 67 percent for comprehensive, locally-determined, evidence-based intervention. These schools have up to four years to meet state-set criteria that would allow them to exit the comprehensive support and improvement status. If the schools do not meet these criteria, they must implement more rigorous state-determined interventions. In addition, districts may allow students in these schools to transfer to other public schools in the district.

Targeted Support and Improvement Schools
Schools are identified for targeted support and intervention based on the performance of student subgroups. Annually, states must identify any school with any student subgroup that is consistently underperforming based on all indicators in the state accountability system. Those schools must receive targeted, locally-determined, evidence-based intervention. If implementation of targeted interventions is unsuccessful in improving student outcomes based on the indicators in the state accountability system, additional action may be taken after a number of years to be determined by the district.
Other Support and Improvement Schools

A school with a student subgroup performing at the level of the lowest-performing 5 percent of all Title I–receiving schools, based on the state accountability system, must receive targeted support. That school also must identify resource inequities to address through the implementation of its improvement plan. If these schools do not reach state-set criteria for exiting targeted-support status within a state-set time period, the school will be identified for comprehensive support and improvement.

School Improvement Funding

States must use 7 percent of their Title I allocations for school improvement activities. States may use 3 percent of their Title I allocations for “direct student services,” including Advanced Placement, International Baccalaureate, and other advanced course work; career and technical education that leads to an industry-recognized credential; credit recovery; and personalized learning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB)</th>
<th>Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Waivers</th>
<th>Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requires accountability based on adequate yearly progress (AYP) toward the goal of having 100 percent of students reach proficiency in math and English</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requires state accountability system with more than two indicators</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Permits</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requires federally-determined interventions in low-performing schools (i.e., school choice and supplemental educational services)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requires federally-determined interventions in low-performing schools (within the lowest-performing 5 percent of Title I schools and high schools with a graduation rate below 60 percent)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For additional fact sheets and videos about ESSA, visit www.all4ed.org/essa/.
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On December 10, 2015, President Obama signed the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) of 2015, legislation to rewrite the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA). ESSA replaces the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB), the previous version of ESEA, and also supersedes the ESEA waivers created by the U.S. Department of Education to provide states with flexibility from certain requirements of NCLB. The following chart compares NCLB, ESEA waivers, and ESSA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB)</th>
<th>Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Waivers</th>
<th>Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goals for Student Achievement</td>
<td>A federally set goal was made calling for 100 percent of students to reach “proficiency” in math and English language arts by 2014. States must set annual measurable objectives (AMOs) for demonstrating adequate yearly progress toward the goal of having 100 percent of students reach proficiency.</td>
<td>States must set AMOs that either • reduce by half the percentage of students who are not proficient within six years; • are set in annual equal increments toward the goal of having 100 percent of students reach “proficiency” by 2020; or • are ambitious but achievable and must be approved by the U.S. Department of Education.</td>
<td>States must set long-term student achievement goals with measurements of interim progress.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goals for High School Graduation Rates</td>
<td>States must set a long-term high school graduation rate goal and annual targets for meeting that long-term goal that are “continuous and substantial” (as defined in federal regulation).</td>
<td>States must set a long-term high school graduation rate goal and annual targets for meeting that long-term goal that are “continuous and substantial” (as defined in federal regulation).</td>
<td>States must set a long-term goal for the four-year high school graduation rate with measurements of interim progress. States may set goals for extended-year high school graduation rates, but those goals must be higher than the four-year graduation rate goal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB)</td>
<td>Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Waivers</td>
<td>Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Accountability Indicators** | Elementary and middle schools:  
• test scores  
• one indicator selected by the state  
High schools:  
• test scores  
• graduation rates | Multiple indicators are permitted. | Elementary and middle schools:  
• test scores  
• a “measure of student growth” or other academic indicator that allows for meaningful differentiation among student groups  
• English language proficiency  
• at least one indicator of school quality or success that allows for meaningful differentiation among student groups  
High schools:  
• test scores (In addition to this, states may use student growth based on annual assessments.)  
• four-year graduation rate (In addition to this, states may use an extended-year graduation rate.)  
• English language proficiency  
• at least one indicator of school quality or success that allows for meaningful differentiation among student groups |
| **Schools Identified for Comprehensive Reform Based on Performance of All Students** | No such requirement. | States must classify the lowest-performing 5 percent of Title I schools as “priority” schools.  
States must classify Title I high schools with a graduation rate below 60 percent as “priority” or “focus” schools. | States must identify the lowest performing 5 percent of Title I schools for comprehensive support.  
States must identify all high schools with a graduation rate at or below 67 percent for comprehensive support.  
States must identify these low-performing schools and low-graduation-rate high schools at least once every three years. |
| **Schools Identified for Targeted Reform Based on Performance of Subgroups of Students** | Any school that misses a performance target for any subgroup for two or more consecutive years is identified for improvement. | States must classify 10 percent of Title I schools with the largest achievement gaps as “focus” schools. | Any school with a subgroup of students that is consistently underperforming based on all of the indicators in the state accountability system is identified by the state for targeted intervention and support.  
States must identify these schools annually. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB)</th>
<th>Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Waivers</th>
<th>Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention and Support for Struggling Schools</strong></td>
<td>Interventions escalate based on the number of years a school is identified for improvement. Interventions include • public school choice, • supplemental educational services (i.e., tutoring), • corrective action, and • restructuring.</td>
<td>Priority schools must implement comprehensive interventions that incorporate seven turnaround principles: • strong leadership, • effective teaching, • redesigning school time, • strengthening instructional program, • using data to strengthen instruction, • strengthening school climate, and • family and community engagement. Focus schools must implement interventions determined by the school district.</td>
<td>There are two categories of interventions and support: comprehensive and targeted. The following schools must implement comprehensive, locally-determined, evidence-based interventions: • lowest-performing 5 percent of Title I schools; • high schools with graduation rates at or below 67 percent; and • schools with a subgroup performing at the level of the lowest-performing 5 percent of all Title I-receiving schools, based on the state accountability system, that do not improve within a state-set period of time. In addition, districts may allow students in these schools to transfer to other public schools in the district. Schools with a low-performing subgroup must implement evidence-based, locally-determined targeted intervention. A school with a subgroup performing at the level of the lowest-performing 5 percent of all Title I-receiving schools, based on the state accountability system, also must identify resource inequities to address through the implementation of its improvement plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Timeline</strong></td>
<td>Schools must meet increasingly rigorous targets each year or implement interventions that escalate annually.</td>
<td>Priority schools must implement interventions for at least three years; states set criteria to enable schools to exit priority status. States must identify focus schools annually and set criteria to enable schools to exit focus status.</td>
<td>Schools implementing comprehensive interventions have four years to meet state-set criteria allowing them to exit the comprehensive interventions status. If they do not meet these criteria, they must implement more rigorous state-determined interventions, which may include school-level operations. Any school with a subgroup performing at the level of the lowest-performing 5 percent of all Title I-receiving schools that is implementing targeted intervention must reach state-set exit criteria by a state-set time period or the school will be identified for comprehensive support.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Assessment Opt-Out</strong></td>
<td>States must assess 95 percent of all students.</td>
<td>States must assess 95 percent of all students.</td>
<td>States must assess 95 percent of all students.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy</td>
<td>No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB)</td>
<td>Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Waivers</td>
<td>Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School Improvement Funding</td>
<td>A separate federal funding stream is authorized for school improvement. States are required to implement specific intervention models to receive funding.</td>
<td>Not applicable</td>
<td>States must use 7 percent of their Title I allocations for school improvement activities. States may use 3 percent of their Title I allocations for “direct student services,” including Advanced Placement, International Baccalaureate, and other advanced course work; career and technical education that leads to an industry-recognized credential; credit recovery; and personalized learning.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For additional fact sheets and videos about ESSA, visit www.all4ed.org/essa/.
The Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) of 2015 supports states in implementing high-quality assessments by providing new assessment flexibility, funding, and a new pilot program. These new policies will support teachers in using assessments to foster deeper learning among students, including the ability to master core academic content, think critically and solve complex problems, and communicate effectively.

**Annual Statewide Assessments**

ESSA requires states to administer an annual assessment of students in grades three through eight, and once in high school, in math and English/language arts.

**New Assessment Flexibility**

ESSA includes several provisions intended to strengthen the quality of state assessments:

- Assessments may be delivered, in part, in the form of projects, portfolios, and extended-performance tasks.
- In high schools, districts may implement nationally recognized assessments that meet state and federal technical standards and are approved by “peer review” and the state.
- States may, but are not required to, set a target limit on the aggregate amount of time spent on assessment administration.

**Funding**

ESSA maintains federal funding to support state assessments, authorizing up to $378 million annually. States may use these funds to develop and implement the annual assessments required under the law. In addition, states and districts may use funds to develop balanced assessment systems (i.e., formative, interim, and summative assessments) and competency-based assessments. Furthermore, states may use federal funds to conduct assessment audits of state and district assessments to ensure they are necessary and of high quality.

**Innovative Assessment Pilot**

ESSA allows up to seven states, and a consortia not to exceed four states, to implement an innovative assessment and accountability pilot. This pilot may include the use of competency- or performance-based assessments for accountability purposes, and removes the requirement for annual statewide assessments to be used for accountability purposes.

**Opt-Out**

At least 95 percent of students and 95 percent of each group of traditionally underserved students must be assessed. This provision aims to ensure that students will participate in annual statewide assessments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB)</th>
<th>Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Waivers</th>
<th>Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requires annual statewide assessments</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes an innovative assessment pilot</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Limited</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(New Hampshire received approval for innovative assessments,)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For additional fact sheets and videos about ESSA, visit [www.all4ed.org/essa/](http://www.all4ed.org/essa/).
Under the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) of 2015, states and districts are responsible for supporting and improving the quality of low-performing high schools.

High School Graduation Rate Goals
For all students and student subgroups, states must set long-term goals for the four-year high school graduation rate with measurements of interim progress. States may set goals for extended-year graduation rates (e.g., five-year or six-year graduation rate), but these goals must be higher than the four-year graduation rate goal.

Low-Graduation-Rate High Schools
At least once every three years, states must identify high schools with graduation rates at or below 67 percent for comprehensive, locally-determined, evidence-based intervention. These schools have up to four years to meet state-set criteria that would allow them to exit the comprehensive support and improvement status. If these schools do not meet these criteria they must implement more rigorous state-determined interventions. In addition, districts may allow students in these schools to transfer to other public schools in the district.

Funding for High Schools
Currently, high schools receive 10 percent of Title I funding; however, they enroll nearly one-quarter of students from low-income families. In addition, there are 3,102 high schools that have a poverty rate of at least 50 percent, yet they do not receive Title I funds. To address this inequity, ESSA allows districts to target Title I funds to high schools. Districts are allowed to lower the priority threshold to receive Title I funds from 75 percent to 50 percent for high schools. The priority threshold to receive Title I funds remains at 75 percent for elementary and middle schools.

School Improvement Funding
ESSA eliminates the School Improvement Grants program. However, it requires states to utilize 7 percent of their Title I funds to support school improvement activities. In addition, states may use 3 percent of their Title I funding to provide “direct student services,” which may include Advanced Placement, International Baccalaureate, and other advanced course work; career and technical education that leads to an industry-recognized credential; credit recovery; and personalized learning.
High School Program

ESSA eliminates the High School Graduation Initiative (HSGI) included in the No Child Left Behind Act. HSGI was the only program dedicated to high school dropout prevention and recovery. However, ESSA creates a new grant program for states and districts called the Student Support and Academic Enrichment Grant program. Funds from this new program may be used for dropout prevention as well as a variety of other activities related to supporting a well-rounded education, improving school conditions, and digital literacy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy</th>
<th>No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB)</th>
<th>Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) Waivers</th>
<th>Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Requires intervention in low-graduation-rate high schools</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes (within high schools with a graduation rate below 60 percent)</td>
<td>Yes (within high schools with a graduation rate at or below 67 percent)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Includes a program dedicated to dropout prevention and recovery</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes (HSGI was maintained under waivers.)</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For additional fact sheets and videos about ESSA, visit www.all4ed.org/essa/.
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